

## ecology and environment, inc.

101 YESLER WAY, SEATTLE, WASHINGTON, 98104, TEL. 206/624-9537

International Specialists in the Environment

## **MEMORANDUM**

DATE: June 30, 1988

TO: John Osborn, FIT-RPO, USEPA, Region X

THRU: Jeffrey Villnow, FIT-OM, E&E, Seattle

FROM: WGlenn C. Roberts, FIT-SM, E&E, Seattle

SUBJ: Preliminary Assessment Reassessment/

Preliminary HRS Score for City of Hoquiam Landfill Hoquiam, Washington

REF: TDD F10-8804-37

PAN F10Z059PA

CC: William Glasser, HWD-SM, USEPA, Region X
David Bennett, HWD, USEPA, Region X
John J. Roland, FIT-PM, E&E, Seattle
Andrew Hafferty, E&E, Seattle

A file review for City of Hoquiam Landfill has been conducted to assess the previously conducted Preliminary Assessment (PA) and to develop a preliminary HRS score. Using the file and additional information, a preliminary HRS score of 20.03 for routes and 37.50 for direct contact was calculated based on the following information:

- o The site is an active landfill operated by the City of Hoquiam.
- o Observed releases of heavy metals to the ground were documented by sample analysis conducted by the Washington Department of Ecology.
- o The landfill is unlined, and its leachate collection system has overflowed and allowed leachate to discharge to the Hoquiam River on several occasions.



PA Reassessment/Preliminary HRS Score for City of Hoquiam Landfill Page 2 o The Hoquiam River is used by coho, chinook, and chum salmon for migration, spawning, and rearing. A coastal wetland exists approximately one mile to the south of the site. No people are known to be drinking surface water downstream of the site: the City of Hoquiam obtains its drinking water approximately one and one-half to two miles upstream from the site. o The site is unfenced and unguarded during non-business hours. Assumptions used to derive the score include: o There are approximately 68 people using ground water for domestic purposes within three miles of the site. The closest well is approximately one mile from the site. o Wastes containing hexavalent chromium were deposited in the landfill by ITT Rayonier over a twenty-three year period. The total of these wastes was estimated to be approximately 280 tons. o Tetrachloroethylene sludge was disposed of in the landfill by a dry cleaner over a period of six years. The amount of this waste was estimated at over 5,000 pounds. o It was assumed that no other hazardous wastes were deposited in the landfill. Based on a sample taken from a storm drain that discharges into the Hoquiam River, an observed release to surface water was assumed. Additional information (i.e., more complete information on the quantities of hazardous wastes deposited at the landfill, and the number of people drinking water from within three miles of the site) may be needed to verify the assumptions used to obtain this score. The City of Hoquiam has entered into a consent order with the Washington Department of Ecology to contract remedial investigation and feasibility studies related to contamination caused by the the site (Consent Order No. DE 86-S174). This work is scheduled for completion by September 15, 1988. Therefore, no further CERCLA work at this site is recommended at this time. Results should be forwarded to the EPA for inclusion in site files. GCR:rls

Facility name: City of Hogman Landfill  Location: Hogman, Washington
Location: Hogman, Washington
EPA Region:
Person(s) in charge of the facility:
Name of Reviewer: <u>Glenn Poberts</u> Date: 10 May 88  General description of the facility:
For example: landfill, surface impoundment, pile, container; types of hazardous substances; location of the facility; contamination route of major concern; types of information needed for rating; agency action, etc.)
Actine landfill sperated by City of Hoguian.
Hazardans wastes deposited inchiae TCE
studge illegally disposed by dry cleaner for
5:x grs. (272165/10), and approximate
280 tons of wostes containing approximately
0,2 percent hexavalent chromium, Releases
have been observed to ground and eurtace
water.
Scores: S <sub>M</sub> = 20,03
S <sub>FE</sub> =
soc = 37.50

FIGURE 1 HRS COVER SHEET [ Observed Release: Cd, Cr, Pb, CN detected in test wells DH1 = DH2 (WDOE Lab Data 3/14)

141 Waste Characteristics

- Tox/ Persist = 3/3 (cd, cr, Pb)

- Haz Waste Quant. = 2280 tons chroniligno sulfonate for ITT Rayonier + ~5000 10s. TCE studge from Most Westernton.

- Ground Water Use!

Domestic Drinking whiter.

Dist. to nearest well:

~ Imi. Haymann School

District #28.

5 WY SE 14 Sec 3 TIPN RIOW

- Pop. Served: 19 malls

18 x 3. 8 : 68

		Groun	d W	/816	or Route Work She	et			
	Rating Factor	1			d Value 1 Ones	Multi-	Score	Max. Score	1Se
	Observed Release	0			1	1	45	45	
	If observed release is given the observed release is given								
1	Route Characteristics						1 7		
	Depth to Aquifer of Concern	0	1	2	3	2		6	
	Net Precipitation	0	1	2	3	1		3	
	Permeability of the	0	1	2	3	1		3	
	Unsaturated Zone Physical State	0	1	2	3	1		3	
		Total Rou	te (	Cha	racteristics Score	3		15	
3	Containment	0	1	2	3	1		3	
1	Waste Characteristics				A CONTRACT				10 10
	Toxicity/Persistence	0	3	6	9 12 :508	1	18	18	10
	Hazardous Waste Quantity	0	1	2	3 4 3 6 7 8	1	5	8	
		Total Was	te (	Cha	racteristics Score		23	26	
5	Targets								3
	Ground Water Use	0	1	2	Ø 10	3	9	9	
	Distance to Nearest Well/Population	12	16	18	20	1	8	40	
	Served	) 24	30	32	35 40				
		Tot	al T	arg	ets Score		17	49	
	If line 1 is 45, multiply			5	* S	17	595	57.330	
7	Divide line [6] by 57.330	THE ALL SHA				Saw =	2	.60	2

FIGURE 2
GROUND WATER ROUTE WORK SHEET

10

III Observed Release: Cd Letected in storm drain that discharges to Hoquian River

141 Waste Characteristics
Tox/Pers.: t.: Heavy 11-ctals
Tox/Pers.: t.: Heavy 11-ctals
Had. Waste Quint: n 280 tons chrom light sulfanate
+ 25000 16x. TCE sludge

El Targets

She water Use:

Economically impostant

Economically impostant

Solmen use Hogorian R.

Also recreation.

- Dist to Sinsing Envis

N/mi to constal wereins

N/mi to constal wereins

N/mi to sonstal wereins

N/M

Dist is Intake. N/M

		Surfac	e V	ate	er Route Work	Sheet				
	Rating Factor	1			d Value (One)		Multi- plier	Score	Max. Score	Ref.
	Coserved Release	0			15		1	45	45	4.1
	If observed release is gi									
2	Route Characteristics									4.2
	Facility Slope and Inter Terrain	vening 0	1	2	3		1		3	
	1-yr. 24-hr. Rainfall	. 0	1	2	3		1		3	
	Distance to Nearest Su Water	rface 0	1	2	3		2		6	
	Physical State	0	1	2	3		1		3	
		Total Rou	te C	ha	racteristics Sc	cre			15	
3	Containment	0	1	2	3		1	7	3	4.3
1	Waste Characteristics Toxicity / Persistence Hazardous Waste Quantity				9 12 15 (8) 3 4 (5) 8	7 8	1	185	18	4.4
		Total Was	:e C	hai	racteristics Sc	ore		23	26	-
5	Targets Surface Water Use Distance to a Sensitive Environment Population Served/Distato Water Intake Downstream	0 0 0 10 12 124	1 1 16 30	00	2 3 3 3 6 8 10 8 20 2 35 40		3 2	640	9 6 40	4.5
		Tot	ad Ta	org	ets Score		T	10	55	
-	f line 1 is 45, multiply		100	_		1	0 3	50	54.350	

FIGURE 7
SURFACE WATER ROUTE WORK SHEET

		Air Route	Work Sheet				
	Rating Factor	Assigned \ (Circle O		Multi- plier	Score	Max. Score	Ref.
0	Observed Release	0	45	1	Ø	45	5.1
	Date and Location:						
	Sampling Protocol:						
,		= 0. Enter on line Sproceed to line 2.					
2	Waste Characteristics Reactivity and	0 1 2 3		1		3	5.2
	Incompatibility Toxicity Hazardous Waste Quantity	0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3	4 5 6 7	8 1		9	
		Total Waste Charac	teristics Scor	•		20	
3	Targets Population Within	1 0 9 12 15					5.3
	4-Mile Radius	21 24 27 30	10	1		30	-
	Distance to Sensitive	0 1 2 3		2		8	
	Environment Land Use	0 1 2 3		1		3	
		Total Targets	Score			39	
, [	Multiply 1 x 2 x [	3				35. 100	
7		0 and multiply by 100		S3 -	,		

FIGURE 9 AIR ROUTE WORK SHEET

Rating Factor			ircl					Multi- plier	Score	Max. Score	Ref. (Section
Containment	1		10			3		1		3	7.1
2 Waste Characteristics											7.2
Direct Evidence	0			3				1		. 3	
Ignitability	0	-	_					1		3	
Reactivity	0	- 5						1		3	
Incompatibility Hazardous Waste	0	- 5						1		3	
Quantity	0	1	2	3	4	5	6 7 8	1		8	
, r						*					
1	Total Was	ste	Cha	arac	cter	istic	s Score			20	
3 Targets											7.3
Distance to Nearest Population	0	1	2	3	4	5		1		5	
Distance to Nearest Building	0	1		3				1		3	
Distance to Sensitive Environment Land Use	0	1	2					1		3	
Population Within 2-Mile Radius	0	1	2	3	4	5		1		5	-
Buildings Within 2-Mile Radius	0	1	2	3	4	5		1		5	
*											
	Tot	al T	arg	ets	Sc	ore				24	
Multiply 1 x 2 x 3										1,440	
Divide line 4 by 1,440 and		_	400	_				FE =			

FIGURE 11
FIRE AND EXPLOSION WORK SHEET

[2] Accesibility: no barners
[3] Containment: none
[4] Toxicity: Cd. Cr(VI), TCE

Dist to critical

habitat: N/A

		Direct Contact Work Sheet		77.17		
9	Pating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Muiti- plier	Score	Max. Score	Ref. (Section)
1	Observed Incident	<b>(2)</b> 45	1	Ø	45	8.1
	If line 1 is 45, proceed					
2	Accessibility	0 1 2 ③	1	3	3	8.2
3	Containment	0 (5)	1	15	15	8.3
4	Waste Characteristics Toxicity	0125	5	15	15	8.4
5	Targets Population Within a 1-Mile Radius Distance to a Critical Habitat	0 1 2 3 4 5	4	12	20	8.5
		Total Targets Score		12	32	
É	If line 1 is 45, multiply	1 x 4 x 5 2 x 3 x 4 x 5		8100	21,600	
7	Divide line 6 by 21,600	and multiply by 100	SDC -	37	2,50	

FIGURE 12 DIRECT CONTACT WORK SHEET

	s	S <sup>2</sup>
Groundwater Route Score (S <sub>GW</sub> )		
Surface Water Route Score (S <sub>SW</sub> )		
Air Route Score (Sa)		
$s_{gw}^2 + s_{sw}^2 + s_a^2$		
$\sqrt{s_{gw}^2 + s_{sw}^2 + s_a^2}$		
$\sqrt{s_{gw}^2 + s_{sw}^2 + s_a^2} / 1.73 = s_M =$	V///////	

## FIGURE 10 WORKSHEET FOR COMPUTING S<sub>M</sub>

	so .	5.5
Groundwater Route Score 15gw)	30.69	30.69 941.76
Surface Viater Route Score (Saw)	16.08	16.08 258.69
Air Route Score (S <sub>3</sub> )	9	9
S2 + S2 + S2		1200.57
V Sow + Sow + So		34.65
$V_{S_{w}^{2}} + S_{sw}^{2} + S_{a}^{2} / 1.73 - S_{M}$		20.03

	v	25
Groundwater Route Score (Sg.w.)		
Surface Water Route Score (Ssw)		
Air Route Score (Sa.)		
S2 + S2 + S2 S9 + S2		
S2 + S2 + S2		
152 + 52 + 52 / 1.73 - 54 -		